

BY EMAIL

June 15, 2014

Regional Senior Justice James E. McNamara
Ontario Superior Court of Justice
161 Elgin Street
Ottawa ON K2P 2K1

Your Honour:

Re: *St. Lewis v. Rancourt*
Court File No. 11-51657
September 25, 2014, show cause hearing
Mr. Dearden's letter of June 13, 2014

I wrote to Your Honour on June 10 (attached), with questions to the Court, and my request for assignment of an out-of-region judge in the contempt matter.

Counsel for the plaintiff, Mr. Dearden, provided his client's views in a letter to Your Honour dated June 13 (attached).

Mr. Dearden's letter does not answer my questions to the Court. I ask that my questions to the Court be answered by the Court, not obliquely through the mouth of Mr. Dearden. I request to know the position and procedures of the Court in this matter.

Mr. Dearden's letter confirms that:

- a) The plaintiff has not filed a motion record or factum to request a finding of contempt of court, and does not intend to do so;
- b) Justice Charbonneau has ordered a "show cause" hearing;
- c) Justice Charbonneau may intend to preside over the show cause hearing.

Mr. Dearden mentions the "R" exhibits as being relevant. At the same time, Mr. Dearden is refusing to give me copies of the "R" exhibits that he has brought, despite my many demands in-court that he do so.

Mr. Dearden makes the false statement that Ms. Caroline Wang, Treasurer of the Ontario Civil Liberties Association (OCLA), is my daughter. Ms. Wang is not nor has she ever been my daughter. Mr. Dearden also recently made this false statement to the Registrar of the Supreme Court of Canada, and that Mr. Hickey, Executive Director of OCLA, was my son-in-law. In addition, I learned (from his letter to the Supreme Court Registrar) that he made the same false statements in my absence to Justice Charbonneau.

Yours truly,



Dr. Denis Rancourt
(Defendant)

Email: denis.rancourt@gmail.com

Cc: Trial judge Michel Charbonneau (by email)
Cc: The plaintiff (by email to her counsel)
Cc: Ontario Civil Liberties Association (by email to its Executive Director)

BY EMAIL

June 10, 2014

Regional Senior Justice James E. McNamara
Ontario Superior Court of Justice
161 Elgin Street
Ottawa ON K2P 2K1

Your Honour:

Re: *St. Lewis v. Rancourt*
Court File No. 11-51657
September 25, 2014, show cause hearing

On June 5, 2014, trial judge The Honourable Justice Michel Charbonneau set a date for a "show cause hearing", regarding contempt of court, as September 25, 2014. His Honour said in-court that there was a possibility that I would be sentenced to a jail term resulting from this hearing.

I respectfully ask that Your Honour not assign Justice Charbonneau for the hearing, and furthermore that the hearing be presided by a judge from outside East Region, who does not have any ties with the University of Ottawa.

This is a case where the events alleged to have given rise to contempt of court did not occur in the courtroom before the judge, and where the alleged disobedience of the order of the Court is moot.

As a self-represented litigant, I also respectfully request the following clarifications regarding the contempt of court proceedings.

1. Was I cited in contempt, or did the judge solely schedule a hearing for a plaintiff's motion for contempt?
2. In the event that I was cited, is the citing for criminal contempt of court or civil contempt of court?

3. Given the presumption of innocence, if I was cited in contempt, how is this possible in the absence of any supporting evidence directly observed in-court by the citing judge?
4. Who will be the prosecutor at the September 25, 2014, hearing: The presiding judge, the Crown, or the plaintiff?
5. What are all the specifics of the contempt allegation, and what evidence will be relied on to substantiate a citation in or finding of contempt?
6. How can I contest the facts relied on, and what is the procedure to call non-party witnesses in my defense?
7. What is the date at which I will be served with any material in support of a finding of contempt of court?

Yours truly,



Dr. Denis Rancourt
(Defendant)

Email: denis.rancourt@gmail.com

Cc: Trial judge Michel Charbonneau (by email)
Cc: The plaintiff (by email to her counsel)
Cc: Ontario Civil Liberties Association (by email to its Executive Director)

Richard G Dearden
Direct 613-786-0135
Direct Fax 613-788-3430
richard.dearden@gowlings.com

June 13, 2014

BY EMAIL

Regional Senior Justice James E. McNamara
Ontario Superior Court of Justice
161 Elgin Street
Ottawa ON K2P 2K1

Your Honour:

Re: Professor Joanne St. Lewis v. Denis Rancourt
Court File No.11-51657
September 25, 2014, show cause hearing

1. I write in response to some of the questions set out in Denis Rancourt's letter to you dated June 10th. As a general comment, it is obvious from Mr. Rancourt's questions that he is cognizant of the law of contempt of Court.
2. Please note that Mr. Rancourt was present in the courtroom on June 5th when I indicated on two occasions to Justice Charbonneau that Mr. Rancourt be ordered to show cause why he should not be cited for contempt for what he did while the jury was sitting (as recorded in the "R" exhibits). I specifically noted the letter I sent Mr. Rancourt on May 29, 2014 (Exhibit R-17) demanding that he immediately take down the voir dire documents he downloaded into archive.org and the links to those voir dire documents that he added into articles he published on his website UofOWatch during the trial. Mr. Rancourt was informed a number of times by Justice Charbonneau that the "R" exhibits are publicly available for copying. I do not recall Justice Charbonneau ruling that he would preside at the show cause hearing scheduled for September 25th.
3. Accordingly, Mr. Rancourt is fully aware he was not found to be in contempt of Court on June 5th and has been ordered to show cause on September 25th as to why he should not be found to be in contempt of Court.
4. Mr. Rancourt is also fully aware that the evidence supporting my position that he is in fact in contempt of Court is the "R" exhibits that relate to his conduct while the jury was sitting. Mr. Rancourt did not misunderstand my position that publishing voir dire documents and making statements that prejudice the jury against Professor St. Lewis is contemptuous. On September 25, he has the opportunity to show that his actions were not contemptuous.

5. I also note that Mr. Rancourt cc'd the "Executive Director" of the Ontario Civil Liberties Association. Why did Mr. Rancourt cc the Executive Director of the unincorporated Ontario Civil Liberties Association that has no standing in this proceeding? The Executive Director is Joseph Hickey. The Treasurer of the Ontario Civil Liberties Association is Mr. Rancourt's daughter Caroline Wang. Joseph Hickey is married to Caroline Wang or is the common law spouse of Caroline Wang. Accordingly, I have not cc'd Joseph Hickey on this letter.

Yours truly,



Richard G Dearden

RGD/md

cc: The Honourable Justice Michel Charbonneau
cc: Denis Rancourt

THE HONOURABLE JAMES E. McNAMARA
REGIONAL SENIOR JUSTICE
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

COURT HOUSE
161 ELGIN STREET
OTTAWA, ONTARIO K2P 2K1



L'HONORABLE JAMES E. McNAMARA
JUGE PRINCIPAL RÉGIONAL
COUR SUPÉRIEURE DE JUSTICE

PALAIS DE JUSTICE
161, RUE ELGIN
OTTAWA (ONTARIO) K2P 2K1

June 17, 2014

Via E-Mail

Dr. Denis Rancourt
denis.rancourt@gmail.com

Dear Dr. Rancourt:

**Re: Professor Joanne St. Lewis v. Denis Rancourt
Court File No. 11-51657**

I have received your two letters and Mr. Dearden's reply.

It is quite inappropriate, when a matter is before another judge of this court, to write directly to me in effect seeking directions and rulings.

If you have issues upon which you require a ruling, prepare suitable materials, serve and file them, and seek a date from the trial co-ordinator when Justice Charbonneau is available to hear the matter.

Yours truly,

James E. McNamara
Regional Senior Justice

cc: Justice M. Charbonneau
Richard G. Dearden

JEMcN:dmo